arXiv:1405.6734v1 [math.RT] 26 May 2014

SINGULAR VIRASORO VECTORS AND LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY

DMITRI MILLIONSCHIKOV

Abstract. We present an explicit formula for a new family of Virasoro singular vectors. As a corrolary we get formulas for differentials of Feigin-Fuchs-Rocha-Carridi-Wallach resolution of the the positive nilpotent part of Virasoro (or Witt) algebra L_1.

Introduction

The main goal of the paper is to present explicit formulae for all differentials δ_k of the Feigin-Fuchs-Rocha-Carridi-Wallach-resolution ([6], [13]), a free resolution of a one-dimensional L_1-module $\mathbb{C}$. By L_1 we denote the positive part of the Witt algebra. The differentials δ_k are 2×2-matrices whose elements belong to the universal enveloping algebra $U(L_1)$. More precisely, the matrix δ_k can be expressed by means of singular vectors $S_{p,q}(t)v$ in Verma modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra (Virasoro singular vectors $S_{p,q}(t)\in U(L_1)$), t is a complex parameter:

$$\delta_1=\left(S_{1,1}(-\tfrac{3}{2}),\ S_{1,2}(-\tfrac{3}{2})\right),\ \delta_k=\begin{pmatrix} S_{1,3k+1}(-\frac{3}{2}) & S_{2k+1,2}(-\frac{3}{2})\\ -S_{2k+1,1}(-\frac{3}{2}) & -S_{1,3k+2}(-\frac{3}{2})\end{pmatrix},$$

It is easy to find the first few singular vectors, for instance

$$S_{1,1}(t)=e_1,\ S_{1,2}(t)=e_1^2+t^{-1}e_2,\ S_{3,1}(t)=e_1^3+4te_2e_1+(4t^2+2t)e_3.$$

However, further computational complexity starts to increase exponentially. Fuchs and Feigin proposed to look for the operators $S_{p,q}(t)$ as

$$S_{p,q}(t)=e_1^{pq}+\sum_{\substack{pq\geq i_1\geq\cdots\geq i_s\geq 1\\ i_1+\cdots+i_s=pq}} P_{p,q}^{i_1,\ldots,i_s}(t)e_{i_1}\ldots e_{i_s}, \tag{1}$$

Date: 26 May, 2014.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B56; 17B68.

Key words and phrases. singular vectors, graded Lie algebras, free resolution, representation, cohomology.

Supported by the grant of Russian Scientific Foundation N 14-11-00414.

where $P_{p,q}^{i_1,\dots,i_s}(t)$ are Laurent polynomials in the complex variable t. Feigin, Fuchs and later Astashkevich had found some properties of these Laurent polynomials, however no explicit general formula have been found..

For a long time no one could get to write an explicit formula for the $S_{p,q}(t)$. At the end of 80s Benoit and Saint-Aubin [3] found a beautiful explicit expression for one family of singular vectors $S_{p,1}(t)$. Hence three of the four matrix elements of D_k we know (due to the property $S_{p,q}(t) = S_{q,p}(t^{-1})$), but the fourth element $S_{2k+1,2}\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)$ remained unknown. The key idea of the approach by Benoit and Saint-Aubin was the idea to consider expansions of $S_{p,1}(t)$ in all monomials

$$e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\dots e_{i_s}, i_1{+}i_2{+}\dots{+}i_s{=}pq,$$

which correspond to all unordered partitions of pq, not only to ordered partitions $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_s \geq 1$, as did Feigin and Fuchs. Of course this extends the formula for $S_{p,q}(t)$, a linear combination of this type is not unique, but in some cases (the calculation of the cohomology with different coefficients) it helps to get interesting combinatorial formulas [12].

Bauer, Di Francesco, Itzykson, Zuber [2] have found a very elegant proof of singularity of vectors $S_{p,1}(t)v$. Moreover, using the Benoit-Saint-Aubin formula as a starting point, Bauer, Di Francesco, Itzykson, Zuber [2] presented a complete and straightforward algorithm for finding all singular vectors. However, their algorithm encounters technical difficulties for $S_{p,q}(t), p, q \geq 3$ and it is not still clear whether it is possible to get an explicit formula in general case by means of it. The Benoit-Saint-Aubin formula, examples $S_{2,2}(t)$ and $S_{3,2}(t)$ considered in [2] has allowed us to guess the general explicit formula for $S_{2,p}(t)$. We prove the singularity of vectors $S_{2,p}(t)v$ following [2] the proof for another family $S_{p,1}(t)v$.

1. Singular vectors of Verma modules over Virasoro Lie algebra

The Virasoro algebra Vir is infinite dimensional Lie algebra, defined by its basis $\{z, e_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and commutator relations:

$$[e_i, z] = 0,\ \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad [e_i, e_j] = (j-i)e_{i+j} + \frac{j^3 - j}{12}\delta_{-i,j}z.$$

Vir is one-dimensional central extension of the Witt algebra W (the one-dimensional center is spaned by z).

Remark. In [5, 7, 8] the symbol L_1 denotes the "positive part" of the Witt algebra W (or the Virasoro algebra Vir), i.e. the algebra of *polynomial* vector fields on the line $\mathbb{R}$ which vanish at the origin together with their first derivatives. We will use further the symbol L_1 for the notation of both the algebras. This will not cause the confusion because the cohomology $H^*(L_1)$ and $H^*(W_+)$ are isomorphic [4, 9].

A Vir-module $V(h,c)$ is called a Verma module over the Virasoro algebra if it is free as a module over the universal enveloping algebra $U(L_1)$ of the subalgebra $L_1 \subset \mathrm{Vir}$ and it is generated by some vector v such that

$$zv = cv,\ e_0 v = hv, \quad e_i v = 0,\ i < 0,$$

where $c, h \in \mathbb{C}$. As a vector space $V(h,c)$ can be defined by its infinite basis

$$v,\ e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s} v, \quad i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_s,\ s \geq 1.$$

A Verma module $V(h,c)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_+$-graded module:

$$V(h,c) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{+\infty} V_n(h,c), \quad V_n(h,c) = \langle e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s} v,\ i_1 + \dots + i_s = n \rangle.$$

$V_n(h,c)$ is an eigen-subspace of the operator e_0 that corresponds to the eigenvalue $(h+n)$:

$$e_0(e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s} v) = (h + i_1 + \dots + i_s) e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s} v.$$

In addition to this, $zw = cw$ for all $w \in V(h,c)$.

Definition 1.1. A nontrivial vector $w \in V(h,c)$ is called singular if $e_i w = 0$ for all $i < 0$.

Remark. A subalgebra Vir^- which is spanned by $\{e_i, i < 0\}$ is multiplicatively generated by two elements e_{-1} and e_{-2}. Hence a vector $w \in V(h,c)$ is singular if and only if

$$e_{-1} w = e_{-2} = 0.$$

A homogeneous singular vector $w \in V_n(h,c)$ with the grading equal to n generates in $V(h,c)$ a submodule that is isomorphic to $V(h+n,c)$.

It is not difficult to present first examples of singular vectors. At the first level $n = 1$ there is a singular vector $w_1 \in V_1(h,c)$ if and only if $h = 0$. Indeed the subspace $V_1(h,c)$ is one-dimensional and it is spanned by $e_1 v$, but on the another hand

$$e_{-1} e_1 v = 2 e_0 v = 2hv, \quad e_{-2} e_1 v = 0.$$

A two-dimensional subspace coincides with the span of vectors $e_1^2 v$ and $e_2 v$. The condition that the vector $w_2 \in V_2(h,c)$ is annihilated by

the operator e_{-1} is equivalent to the condition that (up to multiplication by a constant) the vector w_2 is equal to $e_1^2 v - \frac{2}{3}(2h+1)e_2 v$. On the another hand e_{-2} annihilates w_2 if and only if the parameters h and c of a Verma module $V(h,c)$ are related by

$$6h - \frac{2}{3}(2h+1)\left(4h + \frac{c}{2}\right) = 0.$$

It is easy to verify that the set of solutions of this equation can be parametrized in a following way

$$c(t) = 13 + 6t + 6t^{-1}, \quad h(t) = -\frac{3}{4}t - \frac{1}{2}, \tag{2}$$

where $t \neq 0$ runs the complex numbers (or runs the reals, it depends on the task).

The last remark can be reformulated as follows: for any value of t there is a unique (up to multiplication by a constant) singular vector $w_2 = e_1^2 v + te_2 v, w_2 \in V_2(h(t), c(t))$, where $h(t)$ and $c(t)$ are defined by equations (1).

Theorem 1.2 ([11], [6, 7])**.** *In the Verma module $V(h,c)$ there is a singular vector $w \in V_n(h,c)$ with the grading not higher than n if and only if, when two natural numbers p and q can been found and also a complex number t such that*

$$\begin{gathered} pq \leq n, \quad c = c(t) = 13 + 6t + 6t^{-1}, \\ h = h_{p,q}(t) = \frac{1-p^2}{4}t + \frac{1-pq}{2} + \frac{1-q^2}{4}t^{-1}. \end{gathered} \tag{3}$$

In particular, the following assertion holds [10]: with the fixed natural numbers p and q and with an arbitrary complex number t the Verma module $V(h_{p,q}(t), c(t))$ contains a singular vector $w_{p,q}(t)$ of degree pq, moreover the vector $w_{p,q}(t)$ is determined unambiguously up to a multiplication by some scalar:

$$w_{p,q}(t) = S_{p,q}(t)v = \sum_{|I|=pq} P^I_{p,q}(t) e_I v = \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_s=pq} P^{i_1,\ldots,i_s}_{p,q}(t) e_{i_1} \ldots e_{i_s} v,$$

where $S_{p,q}(t)$ denotes some element of the universal enveloping algebra $U(L_1)$. The coefficients $P^I_{p,q}(t)$ depend polynomially on t and t^{-1}. We assume that the coefficient $P^{1,\ldots,1}_{p,q}(t)$ is equal to one. Obviously that $S_{p,q}(t) = S_{q,p}(t^{-1})$.

Theorem 1.3 (Benoit, Saint-Aubin)**.**

$$S_{p,1}(t) = \sum_{\substack{i_1,\dots,i_s \\ i_1+\dots+i_s=p}} c_p(i_1,\dots,i_s)t^{p-s}e_{i_1}\dots e_{i_s}. \tag{4}$$

where the sums are all over all partitions of p by positive numbers without any ordering restriction, and the coefficients $c_p(i_1,\dots,i_s)$ are defined by the formulas

$$c_p(i_1,\dots,i_s) = \frac{(p-1)!^2}{\prod_{l=1}^{s-1}\left((\sum_{q=1}^{l} i_q)(p-\sum_{q=1}^{l} i_q)\right)} \tag{5}$$

Example 1.4.

$$S_{1,1}(t) = e_1,\ \ S_{2,1}(t) = e_1^2 + te_2,\ \ S_{3,1}(t) = e_1^3 + t(2e_1e_2 + 2e_2e_1) + 4t^2e_3.$$

Theorem 1.5. *Let V be a Verma module over the Virasoro algebra Vir. V generated by the vector v and such that V corresponds to the (complex) parameter t: with*

$$c{=}13{+}6t{+}6t^{-1}, h{=}-\frac{(p{-}1{+}t)(t^{-1}\,(p{+}1){+}3)}{4}.$$

Let consider an element of universal enveloping algebra $U(L_1)$ definend by the formula

$$S_{2,p}(t) = \sum_{\substack{i_1,\dots,i_s \\ i_1+\dots+i_s=2p}} f_p(i_1,\dots,i_s)e_{i_1}\dots e_{i_s}. \tag{6}$$

where the sums are all over all partitions of $2p$ by positive numbers without any ordering restriction, and the coefficients (that are rational functions on t) $f_p(i_1,\dots,i_s)$ are defined by the formulas

(7)

$$f_p(i_1,\dots,i_s){=}\frac{(2p{-}1)!^2(2t)^{s-2p}\prod_{r=1}^{2p-1}(p{-}t{-}r)\prod_{m=1}^{s}\left(i_m(2t{+}1){+}2(p{-}t{-}\sum_{n=1}^{m} i_n)\right)}{\prod_{l=0}^{2p-1}(2p{-}1{-}2l)\prod_{l=1}^{s-1}\left((\sum_{n=1}^{l} i_n)(2p{-}\sum_{n=1}^{l} i_n)(p{-}t{-}\sum_{n=1}^{l} i_n)\right)}.$$

Then $S_{2,p}(t)v$ is a singular vector of V:

$$e_{-k}S_{2,p}v = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8}$$

For instance $S_{2,1} = e_1^2 + te_2$ and

$$S_{2,2}(t) = e_1^4 + 4te_1e_2e_1 + \frac{(1-t^2)}{t}(e_1^2e_2 + e_2e_1^2) + \frac{(1-t^2)^2}{t^2}e_2^2 + \\ + \frac{(1+t)(4t-1)}{t}e_1e_3 + \frac{(1-t)(4t+1)}{t}e_3e_1 + \frac{3(1-t^2)}{t}e_4. \tag{9}$$

Proof. We define the sequence of vectors $v^{(0)}, v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(2p-1)}$ by

$$v^{(0)} = v$$

and the following recursive relation for $k = 1, \dots, 2p-1$:

$$v^{(k)} = \frac{2t\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)e_jv^{(k-j)}}{k(2p-k)(k-p-t)} \tag{10}$$

For instance

$$v^{(1)} = \frac{2t(1-2p)e_1v^{(0)}}{(2p-1)(1-p-t)}, \\ v^{(2)} = \frac{2t\left(2(t+1-p)e_2v^{(0)} + (3-2p)e_1v^{(1)}\right)}{2(2p-2)(2-p-t)}. \tag{11}$$

After that we define the vector $w \in V_{2p}$ by the formula:

$$w = 2t\sum_{j=1}^{2p}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2p-1\right)e_jv^{(2p-j)}. \tag{12}$$

Lemma 1.6.

$$e_{-1}v^{(k)} = -(p+2-k+3t)v^{(k-1)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2p-1. \tag{13}$$

and the vector w annihilates the operator e_{-1}:

$$e_{-1}w = e_{-1}\left(2t\sum_{j=1}^{2p}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2p-1\right)e_jv^{(2p-j)}\right) = 0.$$

Proof. We will prove the following formula for $k = 1, \dots, 2p$:

$$e_{-1}\left(2t\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)e_jv^{(k-j)}\right) = \\ = -(p+2-k+3t)k(2p-k)(k-p-t)v^{(k-1)}. \tag{14}$$

We proceed by recursion on k. The recursion base is

$e_{-1}\left(2t(2-2p-1)e_1v^{(0)}\right) = 2t(1-2p)2hv^{(0)} = -(p+1+3t)(2p-1)(1-p-t)v^{(0)}$.

The recursive step is the following calculation

(15)
$$e_{-1}\left(2t\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)e_j v^{(k-j)}\right)=$$
$$=2t\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)\left((j+1)e_{j-1}v^{(k-j)}+e_je_{-1}v^{(k-j)}\right)=$$
$$=4t(2k-2p-1)e_0v^{(k-1)}+2t\sum_{j=2}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)(j+1)e_{j-1}v^{(k-j)}+$$
$$-2t\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)(p+2-k+j+3t)e_jv^{(k-j-1)}=$$
$$=t(2k-2p-1)4(h+k-1)v^{(k-1)}+$$
$$-(p-k+1+3t)2t\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-3\right)e_jv^{(k-j-1)}.$$

In this chain of equalities we replaced $e_{-1}e_j$ by $(j+1)e_{j-1}+e_je_{-1}$ and used the formula for $e_{-1}v^{(k-j)}$ which we considered true for $j=1,\dots,k$ by the induction hypothesis.

Then we shifted $j=j'+1$ the summation index in the sum

$$\sum_{j=2}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)(j+1)e_{j-1}v^{(k-j)}$$

and used the following equality

(16)
$$\begin{aligned}&(j(2t-1)+2k-2p-1)(j+2)-\\ -&((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1)(p+2-k+j+3t)=\\ =&-(p-k+1+3t)\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-3\right).\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of $v^{(k-1)}$ that

(17)
$$\begin{aligned}&2t\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-3\right)e_jv^{(k-j-1)}=\\ &=(k-1)(2p-k+1)(k-1-p-t)v^{(k-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

We remark that

$$4t(h+k-1)=(-(p-1+t)(p+1+3t)+4kt-4t))$$

and finish our calculations.

$$e_{-1}\left(2t\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left((j{-}1)(2t{-}1){+}2k{-}2p{-}1\right)e_j v^{(k-j)}\right)=$$

$$=(2k{-}2p{-}1)\left(-(p{-}1{+}t)(n{+}1{+}3t){+}4kt{-}4t\right)v^{(k-1)}-\tag{18}$$

$$-(p{-}k{+}1{+}3t)(k{-}1)(2p{-}k{+}1)(k{-}1{-}p{-}t)v^{(k-1)}=$$

$$=-k(2p{-}k)(k{-}p{-}t)(p{+}2{-}k{+}3t)v^{(k-1)}.$$

If we divide the resulting equality (18) by $k(2p{-}k)(k{-}p{-}t)$, we obtain the required formula

$$e_{-1}v^{(k)}=-(p{+}2{-}k{+}3t)v^{(k-1)}.$$

Taking $k=2p$ we will get

$$e_{-1}w=0.$$

□

Lemma 1.7.

(19)

$$e_{-2}v^{(1)}=0,\quad e_{-2}v^{(k)}=-(p{+}4{-}k{+}5t)v^{(k-2)},\quad k=2,\dots,2p{-}1.$$

and the vector w annihilates the operator e_{-2}:

$$e_{-2}w=e_{-2}\left(2t\sum_{j=1}^{2p}\left((j{-}1)(2t{-}1){-}1\right)e_j v^{(2p-j)}\right)=0.$$

Proof. We recursively prove this formula for $k=2,\dots,2p$:

$$e_{-2}\left(2t\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left((j{-}1)(2t{-}1){+}2k{-}2p{-}1\right)e_j v^{(k-j)}\right)=\tag{20}$$

$$=-(p{+}4{-}k{+}5t)k(2p{-}k)(k{-}p{-}t)v^{(k-2)}.$$

The starting point $k{=}1$ is evident

$$e_{-2}\left(2t(2{-}2p{-}1)e_1v^{(0)}\right)=2t(1{-}2p)(3e_{-1}v^{(0)}+e_1e_{-2}v^{(0)})=0.$$

Now we take $k{=}2$.

(21)

$$e_{-2}\left(2t(2t{+}2k{-}2p{-}2)e_2v^{(0)}{+}2t(3{-}2p)e_1v^{(1)}\right)=$$

$$=2t(3{-}2p)3e_{-1}v^{(1)}{+}2t(2t+2-2p)(4e_0{+}\frac{1}{2}z)v^{(0)}=$$

$$=2t\left(-3(3{-}2p)(p{+}1{+}3t){+}2(t{+}1{-}p)(4h{+}\frac{13}{2}{+}3t{+}3t^{-1})\right)v^{(0)}=$$

$$=-(p{+}2{+}5t)2(2p{-}2)(2{-}p{-}t)v^{(0)}.$$

Now let consider the recursive step.

(22)
$$e_{-2}\left(2t\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)e_j v^{(k-j)}\right)=$$
$$=2t\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)\left((j+2)e_{j-2}v^{(k-j)}+e_j e_{-2}v^{(k-j)}\right)=$$
$$=6t(2k-2p-1)e_{-1}v^{(k-1)}+4t(t+1-p)(4(h+k-2)+\frac{13}{2}+3t+3t^{-1})v^{(k-2)}+$$
$$+2t\sum_{j=3}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)(j+2)e_{j-2}v^{(k-j)}-$$
$$-2t\sum_{j=1}^{k-2}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)(p+4-k+j+5t)e_j v^{(k-j-2)}=$$

We used the induction assumption for $e_{-2}v^{(k-j)}, j=1,\dots,k-2$.

Now we shift the summation index $j'=j-2$ in the sum

$$\sum_{j=3}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)(j+2)e_{j-1}v^{(k-j)},$$

replace $3e_{-1}v^{(k-1)}$ by $-(p+3-k+3t)v^{(k-2)}$ and we have

(23)
$$e_{-2}\left(2t\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)e_j v^{(k-j)}\right)=$$
$$=2tQv^{(k-2)}+2t\sum_{j=1}^{k-2}R_j e_j v^{(k-j-2)}$$

where

$$Q=-3(2k-2p-1)(p+3-k+3t)+2(t+k-p-1)\left(4h+4k-8+\frac{13}{2}+3t+3t^{-1}\right)$$

and now we compute the coefficient R_j

(24)
$$R_j=-\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)(p+4-k+j+5t)+$$
$$+(4+j)\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)=$$
$$=-(p-k-2+5t)\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-5\right)$$

The first factor of R_j does not depend on j and moreover

(25)
$$2t\sum_{j=1}^{k-2} R_j e_j v^{(k-j-2)} = -(p-k-2+5t)(k-2)(2p-k+2)(k-p-t)v^{(k-2)}$$

Hence

(26)
$$\begin{aligned} e_{-2}\left(2t\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1\right)e_j v^{(k-j)}\right) = \\ = \left(2tQ-(p-k-2+5t)(k-2)(2p-k+2)(k-p-t)\right)v^{(k-2)} = \\ = -k(2p-k)(k-p-t)(p+4-k+5t)v^{(k-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We divide the resulting equality by $k(2p-k)(k-p-t)$ and obtain the required formula.

Taking $k = 2p$ in (26) we have

$$e_{-2}w = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of the theorem follows from two lemmas. The only one thing we still have to do is to present explicit formula for w.

(27)
$$\begin{aligned} w &= 2t\sum_{j_1=1}^{2p}\left((j_1-1)(2t-1)+2p-1\right)e_{j_1}v^{(2p-j_1)}, \\ v^{(2p-j_1)} &= \sum_{j_2=1}^{2p-j_1}\frac{2t\left((j_2-1)(2t-1)+2p-2j_1-1\right)}{(2p-j_1)j_1(p-j_1-t)}e_{j_2}v^{(2p-j_1-j_2)}, \\ v^{(2p-j_1-j_2)} &= \sum_{j_3=1}^{2p-j_1-j_2}\frac{2t\left((j_3-1)(2t-1)+2p-2j_1-2j_2-1\right)}{(2p-j_1-j_2)(j_1+j_2)(p-j_1-j_2-t)}e_{j_3}v^{(2p-j_1-j_2-j_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding further step by step we obtain the formula

(28)
$$w = \sum_{\substack{j_1,\dots,j_s \\ j_1+\dots+j_s=2p}} \frac{(2t)^s\prod_{r=1}^{s}\left((j_r-1)(2t-1)+2p-1-2\sum_{q=1}^{r-1}j_q\right)}{\prod_{m=1}^{s-1}\left(\left(\sum_{q=1}^{m}j_q\right)\left(2p-\sum_{q=1}^{m}j_q\right)\left(p-t-\sum_{q=1}^{m}j_q\right)\right)}e_{j_1}\dots e_{j_s}v.$$

We need to calculate the coefficient facing e_1^{2p} in the expansion of w:

$$w = \frac{(2t)^{2p}\prod_{k=0}^{2p-1}(2p-1-2k)}{(2p-1)!^2\prod_{q=1}^{2p-1}(p-t-q)}e_1^{2p}v + \dots.$$

Finally we get

$$S_{2,p}(t)v = \frac{(2p-1)!^2\prod_{q=1}^{2p-1}(p-t-q)}{(2t)^{2p}\prod_{k=0}^{2p-1}(2p-1-2k)}w.$$

□

Example 1.8.

(29)

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{2,3}(t) = e_1^6 &+ \frac{(4-t^2)}{3t}(e_1^4e_2+e_2e_1^4) + \frac{8(1-t^2)}{3t}(e_1^3e_2e_1+e_1e_2e_1^3) + 9te_1^2e_2e_1^2 + \\
&+ 3(4-t^2)(e_1^2e_2^2+e_2^2e_1^2) + \frac{64(1-t^2)^2}{9t^2}e_1e_2^2e_1 + \frac{(4-t^2)^2}{9t^2}e_2e_1^2e_2 + \\
&+ \frac{8(1-t^2)(4-t^2)}{9t^2}(e_1e_2e_1e_2+e_2e_1e_2e_1) + \frac{(4-t^2)^2}{t}e_2^3 + \\
&+ \frac{4(4-t^2)(1-t^2)(9-16t^2)}{9t^4}e_3^2 + \frac{6(1+t)(4t-1)}{t}e_1^2e_3e_1 + \frac{6(1-t)(4t+1)}{t}e_1e_3e_1^2 + \\
&+ \frac{2(1+t)(2+t)(3-4t)}{3t^2}e_1^3e_3 + \frac{2(1-t)(2-t)(3+4t)}{3t^2}e_3e_1^3 + \\
&+ \frac{16(1-t^2)(1+t)(2+t)(3-4t)}{9t^3}e_1e_2e_3 + \frac{16(1-t^2)(1-t)(2-t)(3+4t)}{9t^3}e_3e_2e_1 + \\
&+ \frac{2(4-t^2)(2+t)(1+t)(3-4t)}{9t^3}e_2e_1e_3 + \frac{2(4-t^2)(2-t)(1-t)(3+4t)}{9t^3}e_3e_1e_2 + \\
&+ \frac{2(4-t^2)(1-t)(4t+1)}{t^2}e_1e_3e_2 + \frac{2(4-t^2)(1+t)(4t-1)}{t^2}e_2e_3e_1 + \\
&+ \frac{6(1+t)(2+t)(3t-1)}{t^2}e_1^2e_4 + \frac{48(1-t^2)}{t}e_1e_4e_1 + \frac{6(1-t)(2-t)(3t+1)}{t^2}e_4e_1^2 + \\
&+ \frac{(4-t^2)(2+t)(1+t)(3t-1)}{t^3}e_2e_4 + \frac{(4-t^2)(2-t)(1-t)(3t+1)}{t^3}e_4e_2 + \\
&+ \frac{4(1-t^2)(2+t)(8t-1)}{t^3}e_1e_5 + \frac{4(1-t^2)(2-t)(8t+1)}{t^3}e_5e_1 + \frac{20(1-t^2)(4-t^2)}{t^3}e_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, coefficients $f_p(i_1,\dots,i_s)$ facing monomials $e_{i_1}\dots e_{i_s}$ (that correspond to unordered partitions of $2p$) in the expansion of $S_{2,p}(t)$ are not uniquely defined, because these monomials are linearly dependent in V. There are, however, two coefficients $f_p(1,\dots,1)$ and $f_p(2,\dots,2)$ facing e_1^{2p} and e_2^p respectively which, as it is easily seen, are uniquely determined. Let us calculate $f_p(2,\dots,2)$.

$$f_p(2,\dots,2)=\frac{\prod\limits_{q=1}^{p}(t^2-(p+1-2q)^2)}{t^p}.$$

In particular, in our two previous examples we have

$$f_2(2,2)=\frac{(t^2-1)^2}{t^2},\quad f_3(2,2,2)=\frac{(t^2-4)^2t^2}{t^3}.$$

It was proved in [1] that

$$S_{k,l}(t)=(k-1)!^{2l}e_k^l t^{(k-1)l}+\dots+(l-1)!^{2k}e_l^k t^{-(l-1)k},$$

where "..." denotes intermediate degrees in t. we see that our coefficient $f_p(2,\dots,2)$ has prescribed asymptotic behavior with respect to t. Hence

$$S_{2,p}(t)=e_2^p t^p+\dots$$

which is consistent with our calculations.

2. Singular vectors and cohomology

Now we are going to consider Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra with $c=0$ that one can consider as Verma modules over the Witt algebra.

Proposition 2.1 (Kac [11], Feigin and Fuchs [6, 7])**.** *There is a singular vector w_n in the homogeneous subspace $V_n(0,0)$ of the Verma module $V(0,0)$ then and only then when n is equal to some pentagonal number $n=e_\pm(k)=\frac{3k^2\pm k}{2}$.*

It follows from the theorem 1.2 that if a Verma module $V(h,0)$ (with c=0) has a singular vector $w_{p,q}(t)$ it implies that t=$-\frac{3}{2}$ or t=$-\frac{2}{3}$. We will fix the value $t=-\frac{3}{2}$ and we will write $S_{p,q}$ instead of $S_{p,q}\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)$ for convenience in the notations. Let us denote by $V\left(\frac{3k^2\pm k}{2}\right)$ a submodule in the Verma module $V(0,0)$ generated by a singular vector with the grading $\frac{3k^2\pm k}{2}$. The submodule $V\left(\frac{3k^2\pm k}{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to the Verma module $V\left(\frac{3k^2\pm k}{2},0\right)$.

Proposition 2.2 ([13], [6]). *The system of submodules* $V\left(\frac{3k^2\pm k}{2}\right)$ *has the following important properties:*

1) the sum $V(1)+V(2)$ *is the subspace of codimension one in* $V(0)$*;*

2) $V\left(\frac{3k^2-k}{2}\right)\cap V\left(\frac{3k^2+k}{2}\right) = V\left(\frac{3(k+1)^2-(k+1)}{2}\right)+V\left(\frac{3(k+1)^2+(k+1)}{2}\right), k\geq 1.$

One can directly verify that the vectors $e_1 v$ and $\left(e_1^2-\frac{2}{3}e_2\right)v$ are singular in the module $V(0,0)$ with the gradings 1 and 2 respectively. Let consider a submodule $V(1)$ generated by $w_1{=}e_1 v$. It is isomorphic to the Verma module $V(1,0)$ and it containes a singular vector $S_{1,4}w_1$. A Verma module $V(2,0)=V(2)$ (generated by the vector $w_2{=}S_{1,2}v$) containes a singular vector $S_{3,1}w_2$. Vectors $S_{1,4}w_1$ and $S_{3,1}w_2$ are both singular and they at the level $n{=}5$ in the Verma module $V(0,0)$. Hence they coincide

$$w_5 = S_{1,4}w_1 = S_{3,1}w_2.$$

Similarly, one can check the other equality

$$w_7 = S_{3,2}w_1 = S_{1,5}.$$

We see that singular vectors $w_5, w_7 \in V(1)\cap V(2)$ as well as the sum $V(5)+V(7)$ of submodules generated by w_5 and w_7 respectively:

$$V(5)+V(7)\subset V(1)\cap V(2).$$

The intersection $V(5)\cap V(7)$ containes two singular vectors

$$w_{12} = S_{1,7}w_5 = S_{5,1}w_7, \quad w_{15} = S_{5,2}w_5 = S_{1,8}w_7.$$

The inclusions of submodules $V\left(\frac{3k^2\pm k}{2}\right)$ provides us with an exact sequence [13, 6, 7]:

(30)

$$\ldots\to V(\tfrac{3(k+1)^2-(k+1)}{2})\oplus V(\tfrac{3(k+1)^2+(k+1)}{2}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{k+1}} V(\tfrac{3k^2-k}{2})\oplus V(\tfrac{3k^2+k}{2})\to\ldots$$
$$\ldots \xrightarrow{\delta_3} V(5)\oplus V(7) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} V(1)\oplus V(2) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} V(0) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{C}\to 0,$$

where δ_k are defined with the aid of operators $S_{p,q}\in U(L_1)$:

$$\delta_{k+1}\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1,3k+1} & S_{2k+1,2} \\ -S_{2k+1,1} & -S_{1,3k+2} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix},\ k\geq 1; \tag{31}$$
$$\delta_1\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = (S_{1,1}, S_{1,2})\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix},$$

and ε is a projection to the one-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-submodule generated by the vector v.

Theorem 2.3 ([13], [6])**.** *The exact sequence (30) considered as a sequence of L_1-modules is a free resolution of the one-dimensional trivial L_1-module $\mathbb{C}$.*

Corollary 2.4 ([6])**.** *Let V be a L_1-module. Then the cohomology $H^*(L_1, V)$ is isomorphic the cohomology of the following complex:*

(32)
$$\ldots \xleftarrow{d_{k+1}} V\oplus V \xleftarrow{d_k} V\oplus V \xleftarrow{d_{k-1}} \ldots \xleftarrow{d_1} V\oplus V \xleftarrow{d_0} V,$$

with the differentials

(33)
$$d_k \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1,3k+1} & -S_{2k+1,1} \\ S_{2k+1,2} & -S_{1,3k+2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix}, \ k \geq 1;$$
$$d_0(m) = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1,1}m \\ S_{1,2}m \end{pmatrix}, \ \ m, m_1, m_2 \in V.$$

Let consider the trivail one-dimensional module $V = \mathbb{C}$. All operators d_k are trivial and we obtain the famous Goncharova theorem.

Theorem 2.5 ([4])**.** *The space of q-cohomology $H^q(L_1, \mathbb{C})$ is two-dimensional for all $q \geq 1$, moreover it is the direct sum of its one-dimensional subspaces:*

$$H^q(L_1, \mathbb{C}) = H^q_{\frac{3q^2-q}{2}}(L_1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^q_{\frac{3q^2+q}{2}}(L_1, \mathbb{C}).$$

The numbers $e_\pm(q) = \frac{3q^2 \pm q}{2}$ are called Euler pentagonal numbers.

Remark. The original proof of the properties of the free resolution of one-dimensional L_1-module $\mathbb{C}$ by Rocha-Carridi and Wallach [13] seriously used the Goncharova theorem.

Fuchs and Feigin studied L_1-cohomology with coefficients in graded modules $V = \oplus_i V_i$ only with one-dimensional homogeneous components V_i. We will define them with the aid of the special basis $f_i, V_i = \langle f_i \rangle$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the infinite dimensional case and $j \in \mathbb{Z}, m \leq j \leq n$ in the finite dimensional). For a given graded L_1-module $V = \oplus_i V_i$ let us introduce the numbers $\sigma_{p,q}(j) \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$S_{p,q} f_j = \sigma_{p,q}(j) f_{j+pq}.$$

Example 2.6. The well-known L_1-module $F_{\lambda,\mu}$ of tensor densities [9]:

$$e_i f_j = (j + \mu - \lambda(i+1))\, f_{i+j}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$ are two complex parameters.

Corollary 2.7 ([6]). *Let $V = \oplus_i V_i$ be a graded L_1-module over the field $\mathbb{K}$. Then the one-dimensional cohomology $H_s^*(L_1, V)$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of the following complex:*

(34)
$$\ldots \xleftarrow{D_{k+1}} \mathbb{K}\oplus\mathbb{K} \xleftarrow{D_k} \mathbb{K}\oplus\mathbb{K} \xleftarrow{D_{k-1}} \ldots \xleftarrow{D_1} \mathbb{K}\oplus\mathbb{K} \xleftarrow{D_0} \mathbb{K},$$

where the differentials D_k are assigned by the numerical matrices

(35)
$$D_k = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,3k+1}\binom{s+\frac{3k^2-k}{2}} & -\sigma_{2k+1,1}\binom{s+\frac{3k^2-k}{2}} \\ \sigma_{2k+1,2}\binom{s+\frac{3k^2+k}{2}} & -\sigma_{1,3k+2}\binom{s+\frac{3k^2+k}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,1}(s) \\ \sigma_{1,2}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Fuchs and Feigin [6] have not found general explicit formulas for singular vectors of the type $S_{p,1}$ and $S_{p,2}$ but they managed however to find formulae for elements $\sigma_{p,q}(j)$ for modules $F_{\lambda,\mu}$ (using other arguments). But other graded L_1-modules are also can be important for applications [12] and require explicit formulas for singular vectors $S_{p,1}$ and $S_{p,2}$. The main result of this article, together with the Benoit-Saint-Aubin theorem provides us with the formulae useful for cohomology calculations.

References

[1] A.B. Astashkevich, D.B. Fuchs, *Asymptotic of singular vectors in Verma modules over Virasoro Lie algebra*, Pacific Journal of Math., **177**, No 2 (1977), 201-209.

[2] M. Bauer, Ph. Di Francesco, C. Itzykson, J.-B. Zuber, *Covariant differential equations and singular vectors in Virasoro representations*, Nuclear Physics, B362 (1991), 515-562.

[3] L. Benoit, Y. Saint-Aubin, *Degenerate conformal field theories and explicit expressions for some null vectors*, Phys. Letters, **215(B)** (1988), 517–522.

[4] L.V. Goncharova, *Cohomology of Lie algebras of formal vector fields on the line*, Funct. Anal. and Appl. **7**:2 (1973), 6–14; **7**:3 (1973), 33–44.

[5] B.L. Feigin, D.B. Fuchs, *Invariant skew-symmetric differential operators on the line and Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra*, Funct. Anal. and Appl., **16**:2 (1982), 114–126.

[6] B.L. Feigin, D.B. Fuchs, *Verma modules over Virasoro algebra*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1060** (1984), 230–245.

[7] B.L. Feigin, D.B. Fuchs, *Representations of the Virasoro algebra*, in: "Representations of Lie groups and related topics", Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **7**, Gordon & Breach, 1991, 465–554.

[8] B.L. Feigin, D.B. Fuchs, V.S. Retakh, *Massey operations in the cohomology of the infinite dimensional Lie algebra L_1*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1346** (1988), 13–31.

[9] D.B. Fuchs, *Cohomology of the infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Consultant Bureau, New York, 1987.

[10] D.B. Fuchs, *Singular vectors over the Virasoro algebra and extended Verma modules*,Adv. Soviet Math.**17**, 65–74, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[11] V.G. Kac, *Contravariant form for infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Lect. Notes in Phys., **94(B)** (1979), 441–445.
[12] D.V. Millionshchikov, *Algebra of formal vector fields on the line and Buchstabers conjecture*, Funct. Anal. and Appl., (2009), **43**:4, 264–278.
[13] A. Rocha-Carridi, N.R. Wallach, *Characters of irreducuble representations of the algebra of vector fields on the circle*, Invent. Math., **72** (1983), 57–75.

Moscow State University, Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Leninskie gory 1, 119992 Moscow, Russia
E-mail address: million@higeom.math.msu.su